

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLIČATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,334	11/30/2001	Masahiro Sato	NGB-106-A	4987
7590 01/06/2003 Carrier, Blackman & Associates, P.C. 24101 Novi Road #100 Novi, MI 48375			EXAMINER CULBRETH, ERIC D	
11071, 1111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/997,334	SATO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Frie D Culbreth	3616					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	fress				
	D l			/				
THE N - Extens after S - If the - If NO - Failur	PREPLY STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REDIALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a) INCIDENT OF THIS COMMUNICATION (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by supply received by the Office later than three months after the next of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A nailing date of this communication, even it	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this CO	r. ommunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 November 2001						
2a)□	2h)⊠	This action is non-final.	attem procedution as to th	ne merits is				
3) 🗌 Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the monte of closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.							
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗆	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	Application Papers							
9)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed onisrafe. (a) the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is. a) approved by						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	IIIe Latimor.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	s also priority under 35 U.S.	C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
13)[∑	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 55 C.C	.0.3					
a)⊠A∥ h)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the South 14. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	anning the second of the secon							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application from the foreign language provision from the foreign lan								
Attachn		4) 🔲 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-413) Papel	No(s)				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	948) 5) Noti	ice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/997,334

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because section lines in drawings should be named for the figure they represent. Hence, line A-A in Figure 2 should be 3-3, line B-B in Figure 4 should be line 5-5, etc. throughout the Figures. (The specification should be changed appropriately). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 41-44 and 47a-47b in Figures 7-8 and 51-52 and 56a-56b in Figures 9-10. In fact, the drawings are replete with reference numerals that are not discussed in the description; the description and drawings should be carefully reviewed and corrected. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The substitute specification filed 11/30/01 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) because: Some of the lines lack space between the words, so that the printer would not be able to discern the text should the case issue. For instance, words on

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/997,334

Art Unit: 3616

page 2, line 2 and page 3, line 6 of the substitute specification are so jammed together that it is not clear where words end and where others begin. Note also page 7, lines 16, 19 and 12. The substitute claims and abstract have been entered to expedite prosecution, but the substitute specification needs to be resubmitted.

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In page 9, line 18 of the substitute specification "a" should be "an", and on page 11, line 15 "inflating" should be "inflated". Also, on page 11, line 25 of the substitute specification "penetrates" is the wrong word for the occasion (i.e. the opening does not "penetrate" into four openings, but rather forms four openings).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 and claim 3, line 2 "inflates" should be "inflating" in order to form a complete sentence.

In claim 4, line 3 has no spaces between the words and hence is not clear.

Art Unit: 3616

Also, in claim 4, lines 2-3 "two or more" is not clear (i.e., two or more what).

Claims 10-11 are indefinite because they are not accurate to the invention. There is no one embodiment disclosed that has both a penetrating portion and a joint portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-2, 7 and 10-11 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shiota et al (US Patent 5,427,410).

Shiota et al discloses an air bag folded and housed in an instrument panel (column 1, lines 15-25) and inflated by an inflator 16 when the vehicle collides (column 1, lines 15-25). As seen in Figure 2, gas from the generator flows into an opening portion of the air bag (at the left side of the bag in Figure 2). The bag 10 also has a gas flow portion above and below cavity 20 as broadly recited and an occupant restraining portion at the right side of Figure 2 (note especially Figures 5 and 6). The gas flows from the opening through the gas flow portion and cloth 108 is a penetrating portion located within the air bag (claim 1). Regarding claim 2, the penetrating portion 108 divides the gas flow path portion into two flow paths at least.

Regarding claim 7, as functionally recited, penetrating portion 108 reduces an opening area of the gas flow path portion.

Art Unit: 3616

In addition to penetrating portion 108, there is a joint portion or seam at the cloth 108 and edges of openings 106, 107 (column 3, lines 1-4), and cloth 108 and seams combine to reduce an opening area of the gas flow path portion as functionally recited (claims 10-11).

9. Claims 3-5 and 12-15 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maruyama (US Patent 5,593,179).

Maruyama discloses an air bag in a folded state housed in an instrument panel inflated by inflator 16 when the vehicle collides (column 1, lines 15-25), the air bag having an opening portion attached to container 12 receiving gas from inflator 16, a gas flow path portion 22, 24, and an occupant restraint portion at 23. Gas flows from the opening portion to the occupant restraint portion through the gas flow path portion. At least one joint (at stitching 25 in Figure 6; in view of the broad recitation of a "joint portion", the connection 25 meets the claim language, as one dictionary definition of a joint is a point or position at which two or more things are joined) is located within the air bag (inasmuch as applicant's joint portions, which are along an outer periphery of the bag)(claim 3). The joint portion divides the gas flow path portion into two or more [sections?] 22, 24 (claim 4), and the joint portion at 25 sews partially (at least) parts 20a or 20b and 10 of the gas flow path portion together (claim 5).

Maruyama's air bag has a plurality of joint portions (i.e., one on either side of pieces 20a and 20b), the joint portion(s) reduce an area of the gas flow path portion (by holding pieces 20a,

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/997,334

Art Unit: 3616

20b in place), and the joint portions in holding pieces 20a, 20b in place divide the gas flow path portion into multiple flow paths 22, 24 (claims 12-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claims 6 and 8-9 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiota et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shiota et al to include a plurality of penetrating portions such as cylindrical cloth 108 in order to reduce volume necessary to fill the air bag (column 4, lines 23-33) using an

Art Unit: 3616

obvious design variant (case law (St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., Inc., 193 USPQ 8, 11 (7th Cir. 1977) holds that it is obvious to duplicate parts (i.e. use more than one cylindrical cloth) for multiplied effect (to require even less gas to inflate the bag). The penetrating portions in the obvious design variant would reduce an opening area of the gas flow path portion as functionally recited.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Inoue et al (US Patent 5,857,696) shows joint portions or penetrating portions at 5 in an air bag.

Japanse Patent 4-135940 shows a penetrating portion in a gas flow portion between end parts 2.

Fischer et al (US 2002/0089158 A1) shows a penetrating portion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric D Culbreth whose telephone number is 703/308-0360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:30-7:00 alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 703-308-2089. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/746-3508 for regular communications and 703/308-2571 for After Final communications.

- Art Unit: 3616

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

> Eric D Culbreth Primary Examiner

> > 1/2/03

Art Unit 3616

ec

January 2, 2003